

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/717,870	Applicant(s) BEYER ET AL.	
	Examiner Emeka Ebirim	Art Unit 2166	

All Participants:

 (1) Emeka Ebirim.

 (2) Mr. Michael Hudzinski (34185).
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6-7, 12, and 28-31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggests canceling claims 17-20 to place the application in condition for allowance because they do not clearly recite all limitations of the allowable subject matter. The examiner also proposed an amendment to claims 6,7,12 to more explicitly disclose the claimed subject matter in the recitation. Applicant's representative agrees with the examiner's suggestions and authorizes an Examiner's amendment..